



Tetrahedron Letters 40 (1999) 7961-7964

## Sulfur allotrope chemistry—S<sub>10</sub> an effective two-sulfur transfer reagent

Pierre Lesté-Lasserre and David N. Harpp \*

Department of Chemistry, McGill University, 801 Sherbrooke St. W., Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2K6

Received 6 August 1999; accepted 24 August 1999

## **Abstract**

The chemistry of one of the sulfur allotropes has been investigated. Cyclodecasulfur  $(S_{10})$  reacts with conjugated 1,3-dienes and strained olefins to deliver cyclic di- and polysulfides with a better selectivity and under milder conditions than with elemental sulfur  $(S_8)$ . A radical mechanism is proposed for these sulfuration reactions. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: sulfur allotrope; S<sub>10</sub>; sulfuration; sulfur heterocycles; olefins; dienes.

Cyclooctasulfur  $S_8$  is the most stable form of sulfur and is commonly referred to as elemental sulfur. It is well-known that sulfur has many allotropic modifications, most of which are also cyclic species. Sulfur homocycles  $S_n$  with  $n \neq 8$  have been either detected and subsequently isolated from sulfur melts, or independently synthesized. The synthesis and full characterization of these sulfur homocycles continues to be a very active research area. Recently, the preparation of yet another sulfur allotrope,  $S_{14}$ , was reported and added to an already very substantial list.

Although some sulfur allotropes have been known for a long time, there have been relatively few investigations in terms of their reactivity and to our knowledge, they have never been systematically studied as reagents in organic reactions. One interesting study<sup>5</sup> by Bartlett compared the reactivity of  $S_6$  and  $S_8$  towards triphenylphosphine to form triphenylphosphine sulfide. It was found that  $S_6$  was 25 000 times more reactive towards triphenyl phosphine than  $S_8$ .

We and others have been interested for many years in developing new sulfur transfer reagents some of which could be used for the sulfuration of simple alkenes or conjugated alkenes. These sulfuration methods have involved either the use of diatomic sulfur  $(S_2)$  transfer reagents<sup>6</sup> or the direct use of elemental sulfur in polar or amine solvents.<sup>7</sup> We believe that certain sulfur allotropes could be used for such transformations and we have focused our attention on  $S_{10}$ . It was anticipated that its degradation under appropriate conditions could in fact lead to a net 2 sulfur atom transfer, elemental sulfur  $S_8$  being the only side product of such a process.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

Table 1
Yields of sulfuration products

Alkene	Conditions (hr)	Product yield
6a Me	3ª	Me S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
6b Ph	2 <sup>b</sup>	1a, 36% <sup>c</sup> Ph S S Ph S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
7	3 <sup>a</sup>	3, 85% <sup>d</sup>
8	3ª	S-S S-S S-S S-S S-S

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Solvent: toluene/CS<sub>2</sub>; temperature ca. 90°C (heating mantle).

d Isolated yield.

The synthesis of  $S_{10}$  is easily achieved by a procedure well-established by Steudel and co-workers.<sup>8</sup> When equimolar amounts of titanocene pentasulfide  $Cp_2TiS_5^9$  and sulfuryl chloride  $(SO_2Cl_2)$  are reacted together,  $S_{10}$  is obtained as the main constituent of a mixture of sulfur homocycles from which it is extracted in pure form (ca. 36%).

When we first degraded  $S_{10}$  in benzene at reflux in the presence of 2,3-diphenyl butadiene, we obtained the expected disulfide (**1b**) and tetrasulfide (**2b**) adducts in moderate yield in a ratio very much in favor of the disulfide adduct (10:1). However, we initially faced some inconsistencies in the reproducibility of this reaction. Impurities in the crude  $Cp_2TiS_5^{10}$  used to synthesize  $S_{10}$  as well as the homogeneity of the reaction medium appeared to variably influence the yields.

We have now optimized the reaction conditions. Isolated yields of the products obtained from the sulfuration of a series of strained olefins and conjugated dienes with  $S_{10}$  are reported in Table 1.<sup>11</sup>

In the sulfuration reaction of norbonene 7, trithiolane 3 was isolated as the unique reaction product. The yield of 3 is comparable to the one reported in the reaction of 7 with diatomic sulfur;  $^{6a}$  but is quite different from the reaction of  $S_8$  with 7 in polar solvents where Bartlett and Ghosh showed that a significant amount of a pentasulfide cycloadduct was formed. Similarly, the reaction of  $S_{10}$  with norbornadiene 8 produces a trithiolane adduct 4 as well as a new bis-sulfurated compound  $S_{10}$  in good yield. This reaction is again very different from the case of  $S_8$  in polar solvents, where a wide variety of products was isolated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Solvent: xylenes/CS<sub>2</sub>; temperature maintained at 120°C by an oil bath.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Products were isolated as a mixture and the ratio was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

The reaction of  $S_{10}$  with conjugated dienes (**6a,b**) proceeds in more moderate yield. Disulfides **1a** and **1b** are formed preferably; high ratios of disulfide versus tetrasulfide adduct (**1/2**) are observed (respectively, 9.5:1 and 6:1). The tetrasulfides **2a** and **2b** in the mixture can then be cleanly transformed into disulfides **1a** and **1b** in ca. 90–95% conversion by treatment with triphenylphosphine. The conversion of the convers

Control reactions have been carried out with 6b and 7 using  $S_8$  as the sulfurating agent. In both cases, only traces (<1% by use of an internal standard) of the expected trapped products were detected.

Sulfur allotropes  $S_n$  ( $n \neq 8$ ) are considered to be radical initiators in the process of the polymerization of sulfur melts at ca. 160°C although no radical has ever been detected in sulfur melts below 172°C. However, when BHT was used as a radical scavenger in the sulfuration reaction of **6b** by  $S_{10}$ , we observed a 30% reduction in yield of **1b** and **2b**. Moreover, when cumene, a well-known hydrogen donor for thiyl radicals, was used as a solvent for the same reaction, we were able to quench the trapping by ca. 50%. When  $S_{10}$  was thermally decomposed in cumene,  $S_{10}$  was detected; it has been reported to be a decomposition product of sulfanes  $S_{10}$  ( $S_{10}$ ).

Consistent with these results, we propose a radical mechanism for the sulfuration of conjugated dienes by  $S_{10}$  (Eqs. 2 and 3).

$$\mathbf{S}_{10} \quad \underline{\qquad} \quad \mathbf{S}_{m-1} \mathbf$$

We believe that the high selectivity of  $S_{10}$  to give preferably a disulfide adduct in the sulfuration of conjugated dienes can be rationalized by the cycloelimination of  $S_8$ . This process is similar to the one occurring in the depolymerization of polymeric sulfur when long sulfur chains unravel in order to form elemental sulfur. This mechanism is further supported by preliminary trapping experiments with  $S_{12}$ . In the case of this allotrope, sulfuration reactions of **6b** produce the tetrasulfide adduct **2b** to a greater extent than the disulfide **1b**. <sup>18</sup>

Such a mechanism is also consistent with the results obtained for the sulfuration of strained olefins. A 2 sulfur atom transfer from the  $S_{10}$  chain to norbornene 7 or norbornadiene 8 could lead to an unstable dithietane adduct 9 which could subsequently be sulfurated (2 sulfur addition) to form intermediate 10. Two of these branch-bonded derivatives could interact to give the more thermodynamically stable trithiolane adduct 4 along with the expulsion of another  $S_2$  molecule (Eq. 4). These elusive intermediates 9 and 10 were first proposed by Steliou and co-workers in the reaction of strained olefins with diatomic sulfur.<sup>19</sup>

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
S & S & S & S & S & S & S \\
S & S & S & S & S
\end{bmatrix}$$

This new reaction process delivers the equivalent of a diatomic sulfur transfer and nicely adds to the growing list of such transfers by having only simple by-products to separate on product purification.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada as well as Elf Atochem NA for financial support.

## References

- 1. Steudel, R.; Strauss, R.; Koch, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 59-60.
- 2. (a) Steudel, R. Top. Curr. Chem. 1982, 102, 149-76; (b) Laitinen, R. S.; Pekonen, P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1994, 130, 1-62.
- 3. Steudel, R.; Schumann, O.; Buschmann, J.; Luger, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1998, 37, 2377-78.
- 4. Cyclic sulfur allotropes:  $S_6$ ,  $S_7$  ( $\alpha$ - $\delta$ ),  $S_8$  ( $\alpha$ - $\gamma$ ),  $S_9$  ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ),  $S_{10}$ ,  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{12}$ ,  $S_{13}$ ,  $S_{14}$ ,  $S_{15}$ ,  $S_{18}$  ( $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ),  $S_{20}$ ,  $S_6 \cdot S_{10}$ . Two polymeric allotropes are also known.
- 5. Bartlett, P. D.; Cox, E. F.; Davis, R. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 103-09.
- (a) Steliou, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 1991, 24, 341-50 and references cited therein; (b) Tardif, S. L.; Williams, C. R.; Harpp, D. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9067-68; (c) Harpp, D. N. Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 1997, 120 & 121, 41-59 and references cited therein; (d) Tardif, S. L.; Rys, A. Z.; Abrams, C. B.; Abu-Yousef, I. A.; Lesté-Lasserre, P.; Schultz, E. K. V.; Harpp, D. N. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 12225-36 and references cited therein; (e) Konstantinova, L. S.; Rakitin, O. A.; Rees, C. W.; Sivadasan, S.; Torroba, T. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 9639-50; (f) Okuma, K.; Kuge, S.; Koga, Y.; Shioji, K.; Wakita, H.; Machiguchi, T. Heterocycles 1998, 48, 1519-22; (g) Abu-Yousef, I. A.; Harpp, D. N. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8654-60.
- (a) Elvidge, J. A.; Jones, S. P.; Peppard, T. L. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I 1982, 1089-94; (b) Bartlett, P. D.; Ghosh, T. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 4937-43; (c) Fulcher, B. C.; Hunter, M. L.; Welker, M. E. Synth. Commun. 1993, 23, 217-22; (d) Rys, A. Z.; Harpp, D. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 4931-34.
- 8. Steudel, R.; Steidel, J. Z. Naturforsch. 1983, 38b, 1548-56.
- 9. (a) McCall, J. Ph.D. Thesis, McGill University, 1983; (b) Steudel, R.; Strauss, R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1984, 1775-77.
- 10. S<sub>10</sub> appears to be very sensitive to traces of base. In order to obtain reproducible results, crude Cp<sub>2</sub>TiS<sub>5</sub> is purified by silica gel column chromatography using chloroform as a solvent.
- 11. A typical experiment is as follows: 1.0 mmol of alkene or conjugated diene is dissolved in 100 mL of toluene and brought to reflux under a nitrogen flow. 1.0 mmol of freshly recrystallized S<sub>10</sub> is dissolved in carbon disulfide and the solution concentrated to ca. 5 mL on a warm sand bath. The S<sub>10</sub>/CS<sub>2</sub> solution is then injected on top of the refluxing olefin solution with a syringe over 30 s. An extra 1 mL of CS<sub>2</sub> is used to rinse the flask which contained S<sub>10</sub> and is subsequently added to the refluxing solution. Reflux is maintained for 2–3 h. The solvent is then evaporated and the residue triturated repeatedly with 3×3 mL of diethyl ether or hexanes and then with smaller portions of CS<sub>2</sub> until no product is detected by TLC. Products are separated on a silica gel chromatographic column using a dry pack in order to load the sample. Typical eluant composition varies from hexanes to hexanes:Et<sub>2</sub>O 100:2. Products are obtained in 42–85% yield.
- 12. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 1.98 (bs, 2H), 2.63 (t, 2H) and 3.83 (bs, 4H) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ: 28.18, 45.61 and 68.63 ppm; HRMS calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>S<sub>6</sub> 283.8950, found 283.8951. This material decomposes over a range starting at 180°C; it is likely that polymerization takes place. It gave a satisfactory carbon and hydrogen analysis albeit the sulfur percentage was low by 2.4%. Anal. calcd for C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>S<sub>6</sub> C, 29.55; H, 2.83; S, 67.62. Found C, 29.96; H, 2.88; S, 65.22.
- 13. In the vast majority of diene sulfuration (Ref. 6c, d), tetrasulfides are formed. Ratios vary but for example 1b:2b ratios are usually between ca. 4:1 (Ref. 7d) and ca. 1:1 (Ref. 6b).
- 14. Steudel, R.; Passlack-Stephan, S.; Holdt, G. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1984, 517, 7-42.
- 15. Pryor, W. A.; Gojon, G.; Church, D. F. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 793-800.
- 16. Evolution of H<sub>2</sub>S was detected by the darkening of a paper moistened in a lead acetate solution.
- 17. Moeckel, H. J. Fresenius' J. Anal. Chem. 1984, 318, 116-20.
- 18. Lesté-Lasserre, P.; Harpp, D. N. Unpublished results.
- 19. Steliou, K.; Gareau, Y.; Milot, G.; Salama, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7819-20.